## **Historic, Archive Document**

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



FEB 21 1966



Dairy-Herd-Improvement Letter

ARS-44-171 (Vol. 41, No. 8)

November-December 1965

IMPROVEMENTS IN METHODS OF SIRE EVALUATION

Several improvements were made in the methods of sire evaluation by the USDA in 1965.

#### Sire Summary List

An improvement included in the February Sire Summary List was to change the schedule for using short records. Currently reported lactation records are accepted into master files and used in the sire summaries only if the interval from calving date to production-run date exceeds 364 days. The interval was tested and shown to be effective in reducing the high incidence of incomplete records in early sire summaries.

The delaying procedure will reduce the downward bias in early or initial summaries of AI  $\frac{1}{}$  bulls that was reported in a recent DHI Letter (ARS-44-148). A major factor contributing to the problem appears to be an abnormally high frequency of incomplete lactation records among daughters of bulls involved. This has been noticeably evident when sires were heavily used upon entry into AI. Rejected records were set aside and will be reentered during the appropriate quarterly sire summary.

In May, the "Predicted Difference" was first used. The predicted difference represents the expected deviation of a bull's AI progeny from herdmates in herds producing at levels near breed average, and is currently the most useful method of comparing AI bulls. It replaces the "Predicted Average" which

<sup>1/</sup> Artificial insemination.

was used from December 1962 to February 1965, for AI sires, and is computed as follows:

Predicted Diff. = No. of daus. (Adj.dau.av. - breed av.)

Thus, the only change from the predicted average is the subtraction of the breed average. With daughters scattered in many different herds, the reliability of this rating can approach maximum accuracy with a very large number of progeny. In contrast, where each bull's daughters are largely in a single herd, the accuracy of comparing sires is neither great nor materially enhanced by including more than 25 unselected progeny. The primary purpose of the predicted difference evaluation is to compare or rank AI bulls as to estimated breeding value. It is the most reliable method currently available for this purpose. It is useful to individual dairymen choosing AI sires since a given number of bulls will rank similarly in breeding value both within a herd and throughout a large number of herds.

The August Sire Summary List included several improvements, one of which is the use of new 305-day extension factors. All incomplete lactations used in current sire summaries were projected to a 305-day basis by use of these new and more complete factors. These factors allow for difference in breed, age, and milk and fat production. They were published in the August 1965 DHI Letter (ARS=44-164).

Use of a moving 2-year U.S. breed average was begun in August. Previously, the predicted difference had been calculated using a moving 5-year U.S. breed average. Consistently, the 5-year breed averages have been considerably below the production level of the herdmates of the daughters of summarized bulls. To correct this discrepancy and express the predicted differences in terms of current production levels, summaries are now being computed using the current 2-year U.S. breed average.

The values to be used through May 1966 are the average for all cows calving in 1962 and 1963 as follows:

### DHIA Breed Averages $\frac{2}{}$ (1962-63)

	Milk (Pounds)	Percent	Fat (Pounds)
Ayrshire Guernsey Holstein Jersey Brown Swiss Milking Shorthorn Red Dane Red Poll	10,313	4.03	416
	9,003	4.75	428
	13,059	3.64	476
	8,312	5.11	425
	11,384	4.08	464
	8,971	3.77	338
	11,069	3.99	442
	7,664	4.03	309

<sup>2/</sup> Adjusted to a 2X, 305-day, M.E. (Mature equivalent) basis.

Unadjusted daughter-herdmate differences are no longer printed for bulls with sufficient AI daughters to permit the computation of predicted differences. Experience has shown that the predicted difference is superior as a measure of an AI sire's breeding merit. This will eliminate the confusion possibly resulting from two expressions of deviation in the same sire summary.

Regional breed-year-season  $\frac{3}{}$  averages were calculated to replace U.S. breed-season averages. Previously, the USDA standardized herdmate averages by using an "adjusted" herdmate (H.M.) average based on (1) the unadjusted herdmate production (2X, 305-day, M.E.), (2) the number of herdmates, and (3) the moving 5-year U.S. breed-season average:

Adj. H.M. av. = U.S. breed-season av.

If the mean production of all cows calving in an area in a period of time differs from the U.S. average, the adjusted herdmate averages for the corresponding lactations

<sup>3/</sup> An average was computed for each possible combination of regions, breeds, years, and seasons (within year).

will be biased by the amount determined by the following equation:

## Regional breed-season average - U.S. breed-season average No. herdmates + 1

This bias will be small except where there are few herdmates and where there are large regional deviations from breed average, but they might create inconsistencies between bulls evaluated solely in one region. For example, AI bulls averaging about 20 herdmates per daughter in an area that deviates from the national average by +2,000 pounds of milk will have their evaluations over-estimated approximately 100 pounds. Rather than use a single U.S. breed average, the change uses 14 regional groupings of breed-year-season averages for Holsteins, 4 for Guernseys and Jerseys, and 3 for Ayrshires and Brown Swiss. National breed-season averages are still used for the Milking Shorthorn, Red Dane, and Red Poll breeds because of the limited number of observations available. The States included in each regional breed classification are published in the DHIA Sire Summary List for August 1965, ARS-44-165.

It is well known that the relative accuracy of AI sire evaluations increases with a greater number of daughters. The value  $\frac{\text{No. of daughters}}{\text{No. of daughters}}$  has been used by the  $\frac{\text{No. of daughters}}{\text{No. of daughters}} + 12$ 

USDA since 1962 as an index of accuracy for AI progeny tests. Recent studies have consistently shown observed relationships between successive proofs to be <u>lower</u> than those expected from theoretical computations.

A change was made in the adjustment for number of AI daughters after analyses were made by the USDA. A large sample of data representing the entire United States was used in these analyses. The sample was much more extensive than that used in previous studies. This research showed that the value

No. of daughters more adequately re
No. of daughters + 20

flects the true accuracy of AI sire evaluations as measured by the group regression of future daughters on an early group of daughters. This change has the effect of placing slightly less reliability on sire evaluations based on

#### small numbers of progeny as shown below:

Number of daughters	New USDA regression $\left(\frac{n}{n+20}\right)$	Old USDA regression $\left(\frac{n}{n+12}\right)$
10	0.33	0.45
20	•50	.63
30	.60	.71
50	.71	.81
75	.79	.86
100	.83	.89
200	.91	• 94
500	•96	. 98
1,000	•98	.99

#### Cow Performance Index List

The progeny of both AI and non-AI bulls were included in the two Cow Performance Index Lists (ARS-44-160 and ARS-44-170) computed during the year. Daughters of non-AI bulls were evaluated solely on the basis of their own performance versus herdmates. In the case of AI progeny, both performance of daughters versus herdmates and performance of the sire were included in the index.

The name and address of the herd owner were included in the Cow Performance Index List if the cow was from a herd whose records were machine-processed.

#### 4,984 SIRES SUMMARIZED IN AUGUST 1965

A total of 994 AI and 3,990 non-AI sires were evaluated in August 1965. The evaluations included 359,112 daughters with herdmates; resulted in 14,917 individual sire records, which were provided to the cooperating States; and represented 345,453 records reported since the previous summary. A summary of the number of sire records (DHIA-1202 Forms) provided to the States in August 1965 is shown in table 1.

#### 3,650 SIRES SUMMARISED IN NOVEMBER 1965

A total of 980 AI and 2,670 non-AI sires were evaluated in November 1965. The evaluations included 249,553 daughters with herdmates and resulted in 10.893 individual sire records. which were provided to the cooperating States. Records reported since the previous summary numbered 611,332. A summary of the number of sire records (DHIA-1202 Forms) provided to the States in December 1965 is shown in table 2.

TABLE 1.--Number of sire records summarized 08-65, by State, by breed

State	Ayrshire	Guernsey	Holstein	Jersey	Brown Swiss	Shorthorn	Red Dane	Other	Red Poll	Total
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number
Maine	13	31	118	24	4	3	-	-	_	193
New HampshireVermont	26 24	25 39	127 246	32 75	9 15	=	=	=	-	219 399
Massachusetts	29	53	209		15	1	_		_	352
Rhode Island	12	13	80	45 7	15	_				112
Connecticut	23	73	213	22	13	-	-	-	-	344
New York	44	104	599	84	30	-	1	_	-	862
New Jersey	5	69	235	28	17	=	-	-	-	354
Pennsylvania	33	176	497	61	26	5	-	-	-	798
Ohio	29	86	362	84	51	4	-	-	-	616
IndianaIllinois	13 24	90 99	254 <b>332</b>	48 46	36 51	6 7	1	-	-	448 559
Michigan	14	63	482	43	32	7	4			645
Wisconsin	20	136	594	52	50	ģ	-	-	1	862
innesota	32	75	377	51	39	11	-	-	=	585
Iowa	36	84	371	72	46	19	-	_	-	628
Missouri	-	44	176	36 1	7	9 1	-	-	-	272 112
North Dakota	-	10	88	1	12	1	-	-	-	
South Dakota	11	7	135	11	13		-	-	-	177
Nebraska Kansas	29	38 47	161 221	16 35	22 20	13 8	Ξ.		-	257 360
	7		78	5	4					110
Delaware Maryland	21	16 69	286	18	23	2		-	-	419
Virginia	20	97	312	22	16	-	-	-	-	467
Vest Virginia	8	12	107	14	1	_	_	_	_	142
North Carolina	12	63	237	59	9	-	-	-	-	380
South Carolina	4	79	133	51	14	-	-	-	-	281
Georgia	13	31	161	35	14	-	-	-	-	254
Plorida Kentucky	6 5	66 25	90 181	51 28	7 11	_		- :	_	220 250
_					27	4				304
TennesseeAlabama	14 8	65 31	123 104	71 47	11	4	-		_	201
dississippi	13	42	49	49	îî	-	-	-	-	164
Arkansas	4	15	89	14	1	5	_	_	-	128
Louisiana	-	38	45	19	4	-	-	-	-	106
Oklahoma	13	39	140	23	8	12	-	-	-	235
Гехаs	9	24	179	64	8	-	-	-	-	284
Montana	2 2	2 28	43 150	2 30	4 6	_	_	-	-	53 216
Idaho	2			30		-	-	-	_	
Wyoming	<del>-</del>	2 25	20	9	1 16	2		-	-	23 180
Colorado	-	18	124 47	14	-	-	Ξ	Ξ	-	79
Arizona	_	31	96	4	_	_	_	_	_	131
Utah	5	19	129	14	1	-	-	-	-	168
Nevada	-	_	21	18	1	-	-	-	-	40
Washington	16	58	169	41	8	5	-	-	-	297
Oregon	.5	42	105 288	45 32	10 16	3	-	-	-	210 384
California	10	38		32	10	-	•	_	_	
Puerto Rico	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	8 12
Hawaii Alaska	-	-	12 4	_	13	-	-		-	17
Total sire records sent to States										14,917
Sire records									,	/ 00 <i>/</i>
summarized	175	908	2,992	624	218	62	4	-	1	4,984

TABLE 2.--Number of sire records summarized 11-65, by State, by breed

State	Ayrshire	Guernsey	Holstein	Jersey	Brown Swiss	Shorthorn	Red Dane	Other	Red Poll	Total
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number
Maine	8	28	91	23	5	1	-	-	-	156
New Hampshire	21	21	93	25	.5	3	-	-	-	168
Vermont	17	37	160	51	11	-	-	-	-	276
Massachusetts	19	38	149	26	9	1	-	-	-	242
Rhode Island	10 13	15 55	45 132	5 14	11	Ξ.	_	- :	-	75 225
Connecticut	13	,,,	132	14	11	-	-	-	-	223
New York	40	72	441	53	17	1	1	-	-	625
New Jersey Pennsylvania	4 37	37 144	155 488	17 52	13 19	3				226 743
remisy ivania						_				_
Ohio	29	83	299	92	32	4	-	-	1	540
Indiana	12 17	95 85	225 261	40 31	24 29	6 2	2	-		404 425
.11111013									_	
Michigan	10	78	331	35	22	3	3	-	-	482
Wisconsin	12 22	178 56	504 305	46 32	45 25	6 7	-	-		791 447
111111111111111111111111111111111111111										
Iowa	19	45	289	41	31	11	-	-	-	436
Missouri	Ξ	25 6	122 68	20 1	6 11	5 1		-	Ξ	178 87
						_				
South Dakota	14 5	6 39	79 114	5 13	9 16	<del>-</del>	-	-	-	113 191
Nebraska Kansas	13	27	133	17	18	5	_			213
Delaware	5 11	12 48	56 180	8 20	2 18	2	-	-	-	83 279
Virginia	11	73	169	26	13	-	-			292
·8 <del></del>										
West Virginia	10 8	12 51	74	16 29	1 10		=	-	=	113 231
North Carolina	3	47	133 77	39	10		Ξ	-	-	176
Georgia	10	20	98 57	28 30	12 7	-	-	-	-	168 145
Florida Kentucky	5 3	46 26	133	20	12		-	-	-	, 194
TennesseeAlabama	17 8	51 21	95 54	40 29	17 6	3	-	_	-	223 118
Mississippi	12	36	42	27	8	-				125
Arkansas Louisiana	2	16 54	54 46	6 15	4 4	4	-	-	-	86 119
Oklahoma	9	16	75	12	7	6	=		=	125
Texas	6 1	22 4	125 20	42 4	10 5	ī	=	-	-	205 35
MontanaIdaho	6	23	85	20	4	-	Ξ.		Ξ	138
			.,							
Wyoming	2	3 28	14 82	<del>-</del> 7	9	ī			_	17 129
New Mexico	-	13	33	6	_	-	_	_	-	52
41		24	49	2	,					76
Arizona Utah	3	24 16	80	12	1	Ξ		-	Ξ	76 111
Nevada	-		14	11	2	-	-	-	-	27
Washington	11	44	93	20	4	1	_	_	_	173
Washington	4	31	53	25	2	-	_	_	Ξ.	115
California	13	36	175	33	12	-	-	-	-	269
Puerto Rico	_	_	8	_	_	_	_	_		8
Hawali	_	-	4	_	-	-	-	-	_	4
Alaska	-	-	3	-	11	-	-	-	-	14
Total sire records										
sent to States										10,893
Sire records										
summarized	135	656	2,292	430	95	38	3	_	1	3,650
			-,	.55		3.0	_	_	-	5,050

# LACTATION RECORD REJECTS IN 1965 ARE 7.1 PERCENT OF ALL RECORDS RECEIVED AND RECONCILED IN 1965

The relative frequency of Standard DHIA lactation record rejects in 1965 by type of reject is given in table 3.

TABLE 3.--Relative frequency of Standard DHIA lactation record rejects in 1965, listed on Form 1060

Reject	Type of	Percent of 1/	Percent of
code	reject	Percent of 1/all records 1/	all rejects
F	Possible twin	1.5	20.5
D	Birth date	1.4	20.1
Н	Identification of parents	1.1	15.9
В	Dam number, registered	1.0	14.6
A	Sire number, registered	•9	12.2
Q	Calving date	. 7	9.3
M	Eartag identification	. 2	3.1
R	Unusual percent test	.1	1.7
I	Cow number, registered	.1	•9
V	Days in milk, 3X exceeds 2X	( <u>2</u> /)	.6
J	Same identification number, cow, sire, and/or dam	( <u>2</u> /)	•4
T	Production	( <u>2</u> /)	۰, 2
W	Identification conflict with breed association data	h ( <u>2</u> /)	.2
С	Cow, sire, and/or dam registration number, blank or alphabetic	( <u>2</u> /)	. 2
Е	Breed	( <u>2</u> /)	.1
G	Herd code number	( <u>2</u> /)	.1
P	Production, blank and/or alpha	( <u>2</u> /)	( <u>2</u> /)
	Total	7.1	100.0

<sup>1/</sup> Includes 86,417 reconciled records.

<sup>2/</sup> Less than 0.05 percent.